

(\*) SECOND REQUEST: 05-05-05

April 18, 2005

Box 1218  
Shirley, MA 01464

United States District Court  
District of Massachusetts  
One Courthouse Way  
Boston, MA 02210

FILED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

2005 MAY 10 PM 4:03

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASS.

RE: Case No. 1:05-cv-10300

Dear Clerk:

The prisoner-petitioner in this matter seeks the assistance of Justice Zobel's law clerks, which will advance his knowledge to better respond or plead. He does not wish to be a burden of the court in any respect. Your efforts in this will be sincerely appreciated and I would pass the information on to my fellow inmates, since I am a Law Clerk III trained by the Department of Correction.

In one aspect of the court's adjudications I noted that the Orders contained in determinations of February 23rd and March 29th past are unsigned by Judge Zobel, although her name is typed thereon. Just prior to the typed name there appears an "/s/," which I construe to be the law clerk authorized to effect the decision. Does this identify the law clerk doing so and is he or she effecting such decision on behalf of the Clerk of the Court?

The AEDPA, relative to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254, and as defined in § 2244(d), imposing a one-year statute of limitations on the petitioner, starts from the final adjudication in state court. Said date was February 2, 2005 when my further appellate review (FAR) was denied. Exactly 97 days will have lapsed on the date, May 18th next, the respondent is required to answer. Therefore, any request for an enlargement of time by the respondent shall be duly opposed by me, especially in light of my mandated supplemental pleading. Moreover, prejudice in this respect of time attaches to such an extension. Why then, in consideration of my being disadvantaged as such, will a stay not also attach?

Again, the respondent has until May 18th next in which to plead responsively. When can I expect the court's determinations as to my own pleadings already submitted: (1) assignment of counsel, (2) to proceed in forms pauperis (ifp) and (3) an evidentiary hearing?

Thank you in advance for your assistance and cooperation in my request. I am sure, as you are au courant with the law, that you keep an open mind in all matters dealing with criminal convictions. And for that, I am grateful.

Very truly yours,



Rosario Guzzi, pro se

W-63283

MCI Shirley Medium

cc: file